Radio message , paper publication including in electronic media and in local newspapers i.e. Andhra Bhoomi and Vaartha were issued with regard to the missing woman. In the Additional counter-affidavit filed by Skub-Inspectorof Police, it is stated that he has examined as many as 30 witnesses and recorded their detailed statements, visited various places along with Asst. Sub-Inspector of Police and a search was made in all the villages in Prakasham and Guntur Districts but the missing woman is not found. He also visited Chirala Village along with a Constable where the house of the complainant is situated but none were present in the house and caused enquiries with the neighbours but they could not get any useful information. They have also caused enquiries at the Guntur Bus Station and Hyderabad near fever hospital, Nallakunta by showing photographs and issuing pamphlets of the missing woman. It is also further stated that they have set up fresh informants to find out the clues about the missing woman and promised them with decent money rewards. All possible steps are being taken to trace out the daughter of the petitioner.

Since a crime has already been registered by the police and the same has been forwarded to the competent Court of jurisdiction, the respondents will make all out efforts to trace the missing woman and file periodical reports to the jurisdictional Magistrate to whom the FIR was forwarded. As and when the missing woman is traced out necessary report be filed before the jurisdictional Magistrate after marking a copy of the report to the petitioner.

With the above observations, both the writ petitions are closed.

W.P.No.16713 of 2007:

The petitioner, husband of the alleged detenu – Pilli Rajani filed this writ Petition for a writ of hebeas corpus for production of his wife alleging that she has been illegally detained by her parents.

Respondent filed a counter stating that the statement of the alleged was recorded by the Magistrate under Section 164 Cr.P.C wherein she stated that she is willing stay with her parents and the petitioner forcibly married her. When we questioned the alleged detenu who was present in the Court she sated that she has gone to her parents house on her own and she is not willing to join the company of her husband. In view of the same, the learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the Writ Petition. Permission is accorded. The Writ petition is accordingly dismissed as withdrawn.

W.P.No.15473 of 2007:

The telegram sent by the petitioner to the Hon'ble the Chief justice alleging that her husband Rajesh Singh son of Kishan Singh, resident of Nanakramguda, Ranga reddy has been picked by two men in uniform on a hero Honda at 11 a.m. 18.7.2007 and his whereabouts are not known thereafter as he has not returned home has been taken up as a writ petition.

The complainant and her husband were present in Court today. When questioned, the complainant stated that since the whereabouts of her husband are not known she sent the telegram to trace out her husband and her husband has since returned home. The alleged detenu who is present in Court stated that he went along with his friends without informing the complainant and after staying with his friends he returned home and joined the company of her wife and they are residing together. Since the averments made in the telegram sent by the petitioner that her husband was taken by persons in uniform are unfounded, no further orders need to be passed in this petition. The Writ Petition is accordingly closed.

W.P.No.15915 of 07:

This Writ Petition is filed by one Ravinder for a writ of heabeas corpus or production of his sister by name Kumari P. Mamatha before the Court alleging that her sister is illegally confined by the 4thr respondent who is no other than his maternal uncle's son and the police is not taking any action for her release.

The learned counsel for the petitioner reports that the alleged detenu is now residing with her sister and that she is very much present in court today. On instructions, the alleged detenu Mamatha is called by the Court attender and she is present in Court. When questioned by us she stated that her parents are intending to perform her marriage against her will whereas she want to pursue her education and that she is not detained by anyone illegally and that she is willingly staying with her sister at Sa in Nizamabad district and she wasnts to reside with her sister only. In the circumstances, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the writ petition and the same is accordingly dismissed.

W.P.No.17800 of 2007:

This is a Taken Up Writ Petition on the basis of telegram sent by one Mallela Nagaraju alleging that his brother Mallela Sagar son of yesupadam,

Ankamma Nagar, Guntur is illegally detained by the police Arundalpet.

A counter-affidavit is filed by the respondents denyuing the allegations and the statement of the alleged detenu recorded by the Mandal Executive Magistrate has also been filed where the alleged detenu has stated that he was never detained by the police and he is freely moving and he was not even called by the police. In view of the statement of the alleged detenu recorded by no orders need passed in the petition. The Writ petition is accordingly dismissed.

W.P.No.17602/07:

The telegram sent by the petitioner to the Hon'ble the chief justice alleging that her husband sh. And her cousin brother have been illegally detained since 7.8.2007 by the police Chatrinaka was registered as a taken up a writ petition and issued notice to the respondents.

The Inspector of Police filed a counter-affidavit denying the that the alleged detenus were detained illegally. It is stated that they were neither required by them nor any case is pending before the court against them. The statements recorded from the alleged detenus by the Special Executive magistrate on 31.8.2007 were also filed along with the counter.. In his statement the husband of the petitioner she stated that he went to Chatrinaka P.S. to get his brother released whow as involved in a case but police refused to release him and as he could not bring his brother he went away from the house …and he was not in the police custody at any point of time. Mohd. Stated that himself and brother-in-law Ahemed went to the Police Station Chatrinaka to enquire about and informed that sis not available in the house and he was never in police custody. The third alleged detenu stated that he was never called by the police and he was residing in his house.

In view of the statements made by the alleged detenus recorded by the Executive Magistrate the allegations made in the telegram that they were detained by the police cannot be accepted. Therefore, the writ Petition is dismissed.

W.P. No.17812 of 2007:

This is a Taken Up Writ petition on the basis of a telegram sent by the petitioner wife of the alleged detnue